

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/711,850	11/13/2000	Raj Bridgelall	1000	8597
75	90 09/26/2002			
Kirschstein Ottinger Israel & Schiffmiller P C 489 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10017-6105			EXAMINER	
			ST CYR, DANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2876		
		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
دهد	2 1	09/711,850	BRIDGELALL, RAJ			
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel St.Cyr	2876			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A S TH - E - If - If - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION xtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periodilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONThute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	<u> 3 July 2002</u> .				
2a)[2	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ ⁻	This action is non-final.				
3)[closed in accordance with the practice unde					
	sition of Claims					
4)[2						
- \[4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	•			
5)L						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)L 8)Г	7	or election requirement				
,	ation Papers	or election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Office action.				
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
;	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
,	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
15)[a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	• -				
Attachm	•	-				
2) 🔲 No	ntice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed in which claims 1, 8, and 15 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruppert et al, US Patent No. 5,640,002.

Ruppert et al disclose a portable RF ID tag and bar code reader comprising: a support 298 having a predetermined form factor; an RF reader 314 supported by the support, and operative for interrogating an RF resonant element 315 associated with a target by transmitting RF energy to the resonant element, and for reading RF data relating to the target from the interrogated element by detecting RF energy transmitted by the resonant element; and a magnetic stripe reader (magnetic head) (col. 17, line 13) supported by the support, and operative for sensing magnetically encoded data in a stripe card and reading the encoded data (see figures 16, 19, 10; col. 17, line 8+, col. 21, line 63 col. 22).

Re claims 3, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 17, and 18, wherein the support includes a printed circuit board on which electrical circuit component for the RF and stripe readers are mounted, the magnetic stripe reader includes sensor, wherein the RF reader and magnetic reader are supported within the support (see figure 16, 19; col. 17, line 8+).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 4, 11, and 16, wherein the RF reader has a receiving antenna and a transmitting antenna for sending and receiving RF data (see figure 44)

Re claims 7, 14 and 19, wherein the RF and magnetic readers generate digital signals and share a common central processing unit (see figure 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruppert et al in view of Dvorkis et al, US patent no. 5,705,800. The teachings of Ruppert et al have been discussed above.

Ruppert et al fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the support has a form factor occupying a space for an SE 1200 scan engine.

Dvorkis et al disclose a laser scanner system for controlling the optical scanning of bar code comprising: a bar code scanner having a form factor that occupies by a scan engine 1200 (see col. 14, line 8+).

In view of Dvorkis et al's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the support system Ruppert et al to accommodate a san engine 1200 for providing more flexibility in the system. Such modification would facilitate a more compact design which would enable the system to operate more effective. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Ruppert et al.

Art Unit: 2876

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The limitations "resonant element ..." and "by transmitting RF energy to the resonant element ..." of the independent claims 1, 8, and 15 required further consideration and search.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2876

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS

September 23, 2002

MICHAEL G. LEE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800